

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 231 042

EA 015 703

AUTHOR Saterfiel, Thomas H.; Blackbourn, Richard
TITLE An Economic Analysis of the Effects of Dropouts and State Tax Revenues.
PUB DATE Mar 82
NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Montreal, Quebec, Canada, April 11-15, 1983).
PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical Data (110)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Dropout Rate; Dropout Research; Dropouts; Economic Opportunities; Economic Status; *Educational Benefits; Education Work Relationship; Elementary Secondary Education; *Enrollment; *Income; Tables (Data); *Taxes
IDENTIFIERS Income Taxes; Lifetime Income; *Mississippi; Sales Taxes; State Taxes

ABSTRACT

An analysis of the 1981 high school graduating class in Mississippi suggests that greater earnings for students and increased state revenues from income and sales taxes would result if the dropout rate could be reduced to the national average of 10 percent. Subtracting from the total first-grade enrollment (1969-70) both the number of 1981 private school graduates and the number of students not accounted for yields a figure of 45,813 potential graduates. Of this 1981 class, however, 17,730 (38.7 percent) dropped out during the 12 years from 1969 to 1981. Earning figures based on Mississippi census data show that at a 10 percent dropout level the 1981 class would have generated over \$90 million more in annual earnings than were earned at the 38.7 percent level. This increase makes the \$907,200 cost for implementing a compulsory attendance program in Mississippi a sound investment. Eight tables provide statistics on Mississippi dropouts, enrollments, earnings productivity, and income tax revenues. (PB)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

X This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE
position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Thomas H.
Saterfiel*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

An Economic Analysis of the Effects of Dropouts and State Tax Revenues

by

Thomas H. Saterfiel
Bureau of Educational Research
and Evaluation
Mississippi State University
P.O. Box 5365
Mississippi State, MS 39762

Richard Blackburn
College of Education
Mississippi State University
P.O. Box 5365
Mississippi State, MS 39762

March, 1982

For presentation at the annual meeting of the American Edu-
cational Research Association, Montreal, April 11-15, 1983.

Printed in U.S.A.

ED231042

EA 015 703

There are many reasons why Mississippi's system of public education should be improved. Given that Mississippi has consistently finished last in most all economic comparisons among the states, an improved economic status must lead the list. Many economic projections concerning the impact of an improved system of public education have dealt with an endless list of assumptions. This report will only look at the impact of reducing the dropout rate and will assume that the only benefit economically will be increases in sales and income taxes due to higher wages earned by graduates.

The last few sessions of the Mississippi Legislature have seen interesting debates concerning whether Mississippi, with the highest "dropout" rate in the nation, could benefit from a strong compulsory attendance law. Compulsory attendance has been one of those issues which never passes; however, one is hard pressed to find anyone who has cast a negative vote. The few opponents who have take note that it would cost \$907,200 each year once the law is fully implemented. In addition, the opponents know that it will take more than just attendance counselors; it also will require a substantial investment to improve education from top to bottom in order to reduce the dropout rate.

This study takes the graduating class of 1980-81 and looks at what happened over the twelve years concerning dropouts, from the time this class entered the first grade in 1969-70 until they graduated. The figures quoted were taken from the Annual Dropout report published by the Mississippi Department of Education.

This study makes two assumptions concerning the class of 1981. The first assumption will be that the class of '81 will be composed of the public school graduates and the sum total of all students identified as dropouts from the class over the previous twelve years. Table 1 indicates that the first assumption concerning the size of the class of 1981 will be 45,813 students. The Governor's Office of Planning and Policy revealed that for the 1981-82 first grade class, 5,349 students who were eligible did not even enroll in the first grade. However,

Table 1
Number of Dropouts Reported
by Year for the
Class of 1981

1969-70	Grade 1	1,296
1970-71	Grade 2	583
1971-72	Grade 3	455
1972-73	Grade 4	517
1973-74	Grade 5	576
1974-75	Grade 6	634
1975-76	Grade 7	1,529
1976-77	Grade 8	1,934
1977-78	Grade 9	3,302
1978-79	Grade 10	3,239
1979-80	Grade 11	2,392
1980-81	Grade 12	<u>1,268</u>
Total Dropouts		= 17,730 (38.7%)
Total Graduates		= <u>28,083</u> (61.3%)
Total in Class of 1981		= 45,813

such figures will not be used in this study. It will also be assumed that "in and out" migration of people will equalize each other, although figures suggest that Mississippi has increased in population over the last decade. The dropout figures are often criticized since one student may be counted more than once. However, it is also possible for a student to quit school between years and never be counted as a dropout. Therefore, this study will simply use the results as reported.

The second assumption for the class of '81 is that the actual class size is represented by the first grade enrollment in 1969-70, 54,619. One can note that even including private school graduates in the figures, the second assumption moves the dropout rate from 38.7% to 42.8%. In addition, even counting dropouts, there are 5,665 students total unaccounted for. It is true some students may have been retained and graduated later; there is no reason to assume the class of '81 would suffer from this problem to a greater degree than previous classes.

Now, looking at the first assumption for the class of '81 in Table 1, it can be seen that 17,730 or 38.7% of the potential 45,813 students of the class of 1981 were identified as dropouts. The College Entrance Examination Board in 1980 reported that the national dropout rate was approximately 10%. If Mississippi had been at the national dropout average, only 4,582 or 13,148 less students would have dropped out during the twelve years.

There are many studies which cite crime statistics, welfare recipients, health costs, and many other government expenditures for services which are directly related to a lack of education on the part of citizens. However, this study will not seek to calculate the earlier mentioned government cost. The only figures which will be used will be the projected earnings for students. The earnings figures will be based on census data for Mississippi; and, it will be assumed that no students will receive a college education and, thus, will not earn higher incomes.

Census data use five categories of dropouts for calculating earning potential as shown in Table 2. Since the 1980 census figures were not ready when this report was prepared, the 1970 figures were used and then an adjustment in the mean income for Mississippi according to the 1980 data was made. Table 3 provides the anticipated earning power of students in the class of 1981 according to their levels of educational attainment.

By combining the dropout data in Table 1 with the earning potential of students in Table 4, earning potentials could be calculated by year and for the lifetime for one person and for the total class of 1981. These calculations of earning power, given in Table 5, will be used as an estimate of productivity. As can be seen, by lowering the dropout level to 10% and thereby receiving the increased productivity from the students, the average person's salary would increase only \$2,000 per year or \$100,000 in their lifetime. This increased productivity would mean more than a \$90,000,000 increase in annual earnings by the class each year and more than \$4,000,000,000 in earnings over the working life of the class.

Table 6 provides similar economic productivity data as were presented in Table 5; however, it includes the second assumption of class size. As can be seen, under the second assumption, the per person figures do not change; however, the total figures do change since the second assumption represents more students. Even for the current dropout level, the second assumption of class size will yield over \$107,000,000 in increased earnings each year for the class. Over the lifetime of the class, the second assumption would increase earnings over 5 billion dollars.

Now that basic productivity has been calculated, the study finally addresses its purpose: "What increases in revenue could the State of Mississippi expect from reducing the dropout level?" The study will look at the current dropout

Table 2

Graduates as a Percentage of
First Grade Enrollment for
the Class of 1981

Live Births, 1963	57,316
First Grade Enrollment, 1969-70 (Fall -- Public Schools)	54,619

Private School Graduates, 1980-81	3,141
<u>Public School Graduates, 1980-81</u>	<u>28,083</u>
Total Graduates, 1980-81	31,224

Difference in Number of First Grade Students and Total Graduates	23,395
Amount of First Grade Enrollment Not Graduating	42.8%
Students Not Accounted for as Either Graduates or Dropouts from First Grade (1969-70)	5,665

Table 3
Anticipated Earning Power of People
Based on Age Level and
Educational Level

Age Groups	Level of Education				
	Less than 5 yrs.	5-7 yrs.	8 yrs.	High School 1-3 yrs.	High School Graduates
18-24	\$3,755	\$4,411	\$5,189	\$ 5,161	\$ 6,492
25-34	\$5,351	\$6,673	\$7,716	\$ 9,460	\$12,458
35-44	\$5,618	\$7,218	\$8,890	\$11,084	\$14,310
45-54	\$4,988	\$6,651	\$8,735	\$10,804	\$15,019
55-64	\$4,184	\$5,711	\$7,115	\$ 9,036	\$13,423
65	\$2,839	\$3,463	\$4,099	\$5,121	\$ 8,282

Table 4

Estimated Productivity for Students
in the Class of 1981 by
Educational Level

Groups by Educational Level	Number of Students	Average Productivity		Total Productivity	
		Per Person Per Year	Per Person, Lifetime	Per Group Per Year	Per Group, Lifetime
Less than 5 yrs.	3,432	\$ 4,905	\$230,535	\$ 16,833,887	\$ 791,192,688
5-7 yrs.	4,097	\$ 6,980	\$328,060	\$ 28,595,055	\$ 1,343,967,589
8 yrs.	3,302	\$ 6,550	\$401,850	\$ 28,233,716	\$ 1,326,984,646
High School -- 1-3 yrs.	6,899	\$10,450	\$491,150	\$ 72,908,513	\$ 3,388,638,123
High School -- Graduates	28,083	\$14,543	\$683,521	\$408,406,886	\$19,195,123,660
TOTAL CLASS	45,813	\$12,114	\$569,358	\$554,978,057	\$26,045,898,710

Table 5

Comparison of Productivity Estimates by
Three Dropout Level Assumptions for
the Class of 1981 (Using Public
School Dropout Figures)

Variables	Current Dropout Level	10% Dropout Level	All High School Graduates
Number of Students Graduating	28,083	41,231	45,813
Average Productivity --Per Person Per Year	\$ 12,114	\$ 14,134	\$ 14,543
Average Productivity --Per Person, Lifetime	\$ 569,358	\$ 664,281	\$ 683,521
Average Productivity -- Class Per Year	\$ 554,978,057	\$ 647,504,330	\$ 666,258,460
Average Productivity --Class, Lifetime	\$26,045,898,710	\$30,432,703,650	\$31,314,147,570

Table 6

Comparison of Productivity Estimates by
Three Dropout Level Assumptions for
the Class of 1981 (Using Differences
in First Grade Enrollment and High
School Graduates)

Variables	Current Dropout Level	10% Dropout Level	All High School Graduates
Number of Students Graduating	31,224	49,157	54,619
Average Productivity --Per Person Per Year	\$ 12,114	\$ 14,134	\$ 14,543
Average Productivity --Per Person, Lifetime	\$ 569,358	\$ 664,281	\$ 683,521
Average Productivity --Class Per Year	\$ 661,654,566	\$ 771,984,946	\$ 794,324,117
Average Productivity --Class, Lifetime	\$31,097,764,600	\$36,283,292,460	\$37,333,233,500

level, a 10% dropout level, and a full graduation condition. The study will also report data under the two assumptions of the size of the class of '81. When working with the second assumption for the size of the class of '81 (using the 1st grade enrollment in 1969-70), the per capita figures given in Table 4 were used since there were no data to identify when or at what grade level the additional 5,665 students dropped out of school. The assumption is that for either assumption for class size, the patterns of dropouts would be the same.

Being conservative, it will be assumed that the only taxes to be affected by the increased productivity will be sales tax and income tax. The Mississippi Bureau of Economic Research estimates that 50% of a person's income is spent in retail sales and, thus, is subject to sales tax. The state tax regulations were used to calculate income tax revenues for the report.

Table 7 provides the estimated incomes to the state in taxes (sales and income) by the three groups of dropouts presented in Table 5. The data in Table 7 are using the first assumption of class size. As can be seen, if the dropout rate were dropped to 10%, the state should realize over a \$5.7 million increase in taxes each year or over \$268 million during the working lifetime of one class.

It is also interesting to note from both Tables 7 and 8 that the state would receive a greater boost to tax income by reducing the dropout rate from its current level to 10% than it would be reducing the rate from 10% to no dropouts. Given the poor condition of Mississippi's economy and the dire need for better-educated people in the workforce, such an investment in education would appear to be economically sound.

The governor's proposed compulsory attendance program is estimated to cost \$907,200 when fully implemented for all twelve grades. Therefore, it seems tenable to state that the cost per class for implementing the law would be \$907,200. If the program could bring Mississippi's dropout rate to 10%, then each graduating class would return approximately \$5 million in increased tax

Table 7
Income in Taxes by Three Dropout Level Assumptions
for the Class of 1981 (Using Public School
Dropout Figures)

Variables	Current Dropout Level	10% Dropout Level	All High School Graduates
Sales Tax Per Year	\$ 13,854,201 (2,333,407)*	\$ 16,187,608 (\$468,853)**	\$ 16,656,461
Sales Tax, Lifetime	\$651,147,470 (\$109,670,120)*	\$ 760,817,590 (\$22,036,160)**	\$ 782,853,690
Income Tax Per Year	\$6,086,351 (2,455,388)*	\$ 8,541,739 (\$620,861)**	\$ 9,162,600
Income Tax, Lifetime	\$286,058,474 (\$115,403,259)*	\$ 401,461,733 (\$29,180,467)**	\$ 430,642,200
Total Tax Per Year	\$ 19,940,552 (\$4,788,795)*	\$ 24,729,347 (\$1,089,714)**	\$ 25,819,061
Total Tax, Lifetime	\$937,205,944 (\$225,073,379)*	\$1,162,279,323 (\$52,216,567)**	\$1,213,495,890

*Represents the difference in 10% Dropout Level and Current Dropout Level.

**Represents the difference in All High School Graduates and 10% Dropout Level.

Table 8

Income in Taxes by Three Dropout Level Assumptions
for the Class of 1981 (Using Difference in
First Grade Enrollment and High
School Graduates)

Variables	Current Dropout Level	10% Dropout Level	All High School Graduates
Sales Tax Per Year	\$ 18,517,203 (\$2,781,925)*	\$ 19,299,128 (\$558,794)**	\$ 19,858,102
Sales Tax, Lifetime	\$ 776,308,524 (\$130,750,502)*	\$ 907,059,026 (\$25,271,785)**	\$ 903,330,811
Income Tax Per Year	\$ 7,256,246 (\$2,927,353)*	\$ 10,183,599 (\$740,201)**	\$ 10,923,800
Income Tax, Lifetime	\$ 341,043,569 (\$137,585,606)*	\$ 478,629,175 (\$34,789,425)**	\$ 513,418,600
Total Tax Per Year	\$ 23,773,449 (\$5,709,278)*	\$ 29,482,727 (\$1,299,175)**	\$ 30,781,902
Total Tax, Lifetime	\$1,117,352,093 (\$268,336,108)*	\$1,385,688,201 (\$61,061,210)**	\$1,446,749,411

*Represents the difference in 10% Dropout Level and Current Dropout Level.

**Represents the difference in All High School Graduates and 10% Dropout Level.

revenue to the state each year or more than \$225 million over their lifetime under the most conservative figures. There is little doubt that the actual return to the state in tax revenues would be much higher since the figures used in the calculations are extremely conservative.

Some people may argue that simply implementing the compulsory attendance law would not be enough to bring the dropout rate to 10%; it would require a change in philosophy and a great deal of money invested to strengthen the system of public education. One must consider the proposition, "How much should the state be willing to invest in public education to receive an annual return (when fully implemented) of over \$225 million in increased taxes?" If the state invested \$150 million a year into the new program, a sum which would place teacher salaries at the southeastern average and make major strides forward in program improvement, the state would still stand to gain a 67% return on its investment when fully implemented.

This study was not intended to accurately reflect all the economic benefits that the state of Mississippi could receive by reducing the dropout rate, but rather to give a most conservative picture of the economic impact. This study also did not imply that by simply increasing funding to public schools, all problems would go away. There is no doubt that new funds must accompany new programs which are well conceived and adequately monitored. However, there should be little doubt that a serious investment of capital in education in Mississippi stands to provide tremendous returns to the state in future taxes, thus providing the revenue necessary to do the many things that citizens feel government should do.

Therefore, the initial investment of the state in a compulsory attendance program that is supported with new programs to strengthen public education in Mississippi is an economically sound idea.